



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,002	11/20/2003	Nova Spivack	61217-8008.US01	9098
22918	7590	03/18/2009		
PERKINS COIE LLP P.O. BOX 1208 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1208			EXAMINER DANG, THANH HA T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2163	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/719,002	Applicant(s) SPIVACK ET AL.	
	Examiner Thanh-Ha Dang	Art Unit 2163	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thanh-Ha Dang. (3) ____.

(2) Yenyun Fu (RN 59,141). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 05 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's Representative gave a brief description of the invention. The Applicant's Representative and the Examiner discussed how to overcome the 35USC101 rejection concerning claim 1, and the proposed amended claim 1 and new claims 57-61. The Applicant's Representative indicated that she would further investigate the claims language and amend the claims in reply to the current office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thanh-Ha Dang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2163	/don wong/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2163
--	--